CANUCK LAW
Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

OCTOBER 21, 2020 BY RONNIE

Controlled Opposition “Conservatives” Prop Up Climate, Banking Cartels




Group of Governors and Heads of Supervision (GHOS)
Chairman: Mario Draghi, President of the European Central Bank
The BCBS reports to the GHOS – its oversight body – comprising central banks Governors and (non-central bank) heads of supervision from the Committee's members.
Basel Committee
BCBS Chairman
Pablo Hernández de Cos, Governor of the Bank of Spain
The BCBS has in place a rotating chairmanship to direct the Committee's standard-setting and research-based groups.
Policy Development Group
Supervision and Implementation Group
Macroprudential Supervision Group
Accounting Experts Group
Basel Consultative Group
The Committee comprises five standard-setting and research-based groups, each of them having a number of specialised working groups and task forces.
The Committee's Secretariat is hosted and supported by the Bank for International Settlements, located in Basel.

Welcome to the United Nations
العربية 中文 English Français Русский Español Portu
UN News
Global perspective
Human stories
Search
HOME
TOPICS
IN DEPTH
SECRETARY-GENERAL
Africa
Americas
Asia Pacific
Middle East
Europe
History Corner
AUDIO H
‘Today is an historic day,’ says Ban, as 175 countries sign Paris climate accord


Français
Government of Canada
Gouvernement du Canada
Search Canada.ca
Jobs
Immigration
Travel
Business
Benefits
Health
Taxes
More services
Home → Canada and the world → Canada's efforts to address global issues → Canada's international assistance priorities
→ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
In September 2015, Canada and 192 other UN member states adopted the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The 2030 Agenda is a 15-year global framework centred on an ambitious set of 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 169 targets and over 230 indicators. The 2030 Agenda envisions a secure world free of poverty and hunger, with full and productive employment, access to quality education and universal health coverage, the achievement of gender equality and the empowerment of all women and girls, and an end to environmental degradation.
The 2030 Agenda is a global framework of action for people, planet, prosperity, peace, and partnership. It integrates social, economic, and environmental dimensions of sustainable development, as well as peace, governance and justice elements. It is universal in nature, meaning that developing and developed countries alike will implement the Agenda. Furthermore, the Agenda includes an overarching principle of ensuring that no one is left behind in the achievement of the SDGs.
SUSTAINABLE
GOALS
ng for www.international.gc.ca...

So-called “fiscal conservatives” will talk about the problem of debt, but never the predatory banking system that causes it. Similarly, they will pretend to oppose Carbon taxes, but not address where this money ends up. They don’t want supporters grasping what really happens.

Politicians want people focused on the symptoms (debt, carbon taxes), and not on the diseases (banking and climate cartels). See the bigger picture.

Alliance Between Climate, Banking Cartels

This may seem odd, but the banking cartel works with the climate cartel. They share a goal, which is to bleed wealth out of nations, and to the detriment of the citizens. Whether it is cloaked as “fiscal policy”, or as “stopping climate change”, the result is much the same. In fact, pushing climate bonds, the green agenda, green bonds, or heritage sites, seem to be a way to advance their agendas.

Climate Bonds A Growth Industry

https://www.climatebonds.net/
PARTNER LOGIN
Climate Bonds INITIATIVE
EN | 中文
Search
HOME | ABOUT | STANDARD | CERTIFICATION | MARKET INTEL | POLICY | BLOG | GET INVOLVED | RESOURCES | CHINA
GREEN BONDS
THE STATE OF THE MARKET
2018
Climate Bonds Initiative is an international, investor-focused not-for-profit. We're the only organisation working solely on mobilising the $100 trillion bond
green bond pledge

Climate bonds are a growth industry, expected to top $100 trillion in value over the next several years. Granted, this does nothing to elimiate climate change, or make the air cleaner, but why should that be an excuse not to pay your taxes.

Incidently, the climate bonds are pushed by: Rockefeller Foundation, European Climate Foundation, and Climate Works Foundation (among others).

Central Banks Support Climate Hoax

https://rumble.com/v73us6g-green-bonds-bank-for-international-settlements.html

https://rumble.com/v73us56-green-bonds-and-carbon-emissions-a-proposal.html

The Bank for International Settlements fully supports the climate change industry. See here and here. They claim stopping climate change is essential to financial stability, but offer no specifics on how this is, or on how these bonds help. Check out the BIS site for more.

Carbon Taxes Finance Int’l Debt Swaps

UN.new.development.financing.2012.178pages

The UN laid out years ago where a lot of the money would be going. One of the big areas (besides climate bonds), is using various taxation methods making predatory loans to the 3rd World, then forcing them into debt-for-land swaps when they can’t afford to pay it back.

The kicker is that this money Canada (and other countries) give, is that it is borrowed to begin with. Canada borrows money (from private sources), to hand over to the UN, who then lends it out to other nations who can never pay it back.

But all conservatives will complain about is that a Carbon tax is ineffective. They miss the bigger picture.

Mark Carney (Ex-BoC), UN Climate Mobster

https://www.un.org/sg/en/content/sg/personnel-appointments/2019-12-01/secretary-general-appoints-mark-joseph-carney-of-canada-his-special-envoy-clin

Welcome to the United Nations English Français

United Nations Secretary-General

Search

HOME ABOUT VISION THE TEAM DAILY AGENDA STATEMENTS/REPORTS MEDIA

01 December 2019 Madrid, Spain

Secretary-General appoints Mark Joseph Carney of Canada as his Special Envoy on Climate Action and Finance

On 1 December 2019, in Madrid, Spain, the Secretary-General announced the appointment of Mr. Mark Joseph Carney, OC, of Canada as his Special Envoy on Climate Action and Finance.
As Special Envoy, he will focus on ambitious implementation of climate action, with special attention to significantly shifting public and private finance markets and mobilizing private finance to the levels needed to achieve the 1.5°C goal of the Paris Agreement. This will include building the frameworks for financial reporting, risk management and returns in order to bring the impacts of climate change to the mainstream of private financial decision making and to support the transition to a net zero carbon economy.

Mr. Carney has held numerous positions in finance in both the private and public sectors. He is currently the

Statements

Latest Statements

https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/oct/13/firms-ignoring-climate-crisis-bankrupt-mark-carney-bank-england-governor

Firms ignoring climate crisis will go bankrupt, says Mark Carney

Bank of England governor warns of financial collapse linked to climate emergency

- Top asset managers oversee $300bn fossil fuel investments
- Why are asset managers investing in fossil fuel companies?

interruption on all your devices

Subscribe now

most viewed

Donald Trump has destroyed American leadership - I'll restore it *Elizabeth Warren*

Austria struggles with marauding Krampus demons gone rogue

Banana artwork that fetched $120,000 is eaten by 'hungry' artist

Fit for stardom: How Adam

In the old days, the mafia would burn down your business if you didn’t pay your taxes. Now, people like Mark Carney (former head of Bank of Canada and Bank of England), are threatening to legally wipe out your business if you don’t play along with the climate mob. Interesting that a banker now leads the climate cartel enforcement.

Carney was actually touted as a possible successor to Paul Martin years ago. Now, do

conservatives have anything to say about this threat to free enterprise? Nope.

Harper, Conservatives Defend Banking Cartel



00:00 04:26

Although a few parties are willing to talk openly about the International Banking Cartel, most are not. This happens even when politicians know about the scam, but choose to remain quiet. From 2011 to 2017, both Liberals and Conservatives fought in Federal Court to keep the usurious system intact.

Carbon Tax Court Challenges Are Rigged

Français
SUPREME COURT OF CANADA
Search
Welcome to the Supreme Court of Canada
NOTICE: The Supreme Court of Canada building remains closed to visitors out of concern for their health and safety. However, the Court is open for case-related matters. Hearings will be conducted both in person and by video conference during the fall session, which began on September 22, 2020. Read more >>
The Court
Its role and history as well as a video about the Supreme Court and its work
Cases
Reasons for judgments, Cases in Brief, judgments in leave applications, hearing schedules and other information about cases before the Court
Judges
Learn about the Court's current and past members
Act and Rules
The Supreme Court Act, the Rules, Notices to the profession
Parties
Resources and information for counsel and self-represented litigants
Media
Media contacts, news releases and other information to help the media cover the Court and its judgments
Visits
Take a tour, attend a hearing or download an educational kit
Library
Library resources and services, including the New Library Titles list
Jobs
Jobs at the Court, including the Law Clerk Program
Message from the Chief Justice, Richard Wagner
"Welcome to the Supreme Court of Canada, the only bilingual (two languages) and bijural (two legal systems) supreme court in the world. We work and decide cases in French and English, in all areas of law..." Read more >>

This was addressed here and here. Provincial Premiers (and the Federal Conservatives) all claim to be against the Federal Carbon tax. However, a look through their court submissions shows that they don’t have any issue with the climate industry itself. Nor do they rule out their own Provincial taxes. This is all just a dog and pony show. Court documents are here.

Garnett Genuis Defends Paris Accord

This is a clip from Rebel News, in 2017. Here, CPC MP Garnett Genuis went on the air and publicly defended voting in a motion to support the Paris Accord. Although Genuis insisted this was the right decision, he couldn’t offer anything but the flimsiest of justifications. He also refused to admit that the vote was whipped.

As for abiding by the Paris Accord without taxes: read the agreement, starting with Article #9.

British Columbia Conservative Party



The BC Conservatives claim that greenhouses gases are an issue to be dealt with. They fully support the hoax, although claim to oppose a Carbon tax. There is no mention whatsoever of central banking.

United Conservative Party (Alberta)

Jason Kenney, prior to his 2019 election win, claimed to oppose the Federal (yes, the Federal) Carbon tax, but still plays along with the climate change hoax.

NATIONAL POST

Subscribe Sign In

Alberta appeal court sides with province, rules federal carbon tax is unconstitutional in 4-1 decision

The court ruled that, for something to be a national concern within federal jurisdiction, it would have to be beyond the scope of provincial powers

The Canadian Press

Feb 25, 2020 • Last Updated 7 months ago • 10 minute read

TRENDING

1 NDP needs more 'conscientious' approach to avoid becoming permanent Liberal prop-up: experts
with Video

2 Canadians dodge snap election as Liberals survive confidence vote with help from NDP, Greens
with Video

3 Katherine Schwarzenegger, Robert Downey Jr. defend Chris Pratt after mean tweets, decry 'bullying'
with Video



THE GLOBE AND MAIL ALBERTA SUBSCRIBE REGISTER LOG IN

Alberta sets $30-per-tonne carbon tax on large industrial emitters, aligning province with federal law

JUSTIN GIOVANNETTI › EMMA GRANEY › ENERGY REPORTER

FOLLOW JUSTIN FOLLOW EMMA

CALGARY AND EDMONTON
PUBLISHED OCTOBER 29, 2019
UPDATED OCTOBER 30, 2019

PUBLISHED OCTOBER 29, 2019
This article was published more than 6 months ago. Some information in it may no longer be current.

268 COMMENTS SHARE TEXT SIZE BOOKMARK

00:00 Voice 1x

SUPPORT QUALITY JOURNALISM

[1] Calls to action to save the planet we all share evoke strong emotions. And properly so. ***The dangers of climate change are undoubted as are the risks flowing from failure to meet the essential challenge. Equally, it is undisputed that greenhouse gas emissions caused by people (GHG emissions) are a cause of climate change. None of these forces have passed judges by***. The question the Lieutenant Governor in Council referred to this Court though – is the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, SC 2018, c 12 (Act) unconstitutional in whole or in part – is not a referendum on the phenomenon of climate change. Nor is it about ***the undisputed need for governments throughout the world to move quickly to reduce GHG emissions, including through changes in societal behaviour***. The federal government is not the only government in this country committed to immediate action to meet this compelling need. Without exception, every provincial government is too.

[2] ***Nor is this Reference about which level of government might be better suited to address climate change or GHG emissions***. Or whether a uniform approach is desirable. Or who has the best policies. Or what are the best policies. Or who could do more to reduce GHG emissions in the world. This Court cannot compare causes with causes, means with means, provinces with provinces or nations with nations in the global struggle against climate change. But what it can do is offer our opinion on the constitutionality of the Act under Canada’s federal state.

> [3] Greenhouse gases (GHGs) in quantity have been part of our atmosphere since the dawn of mankind. Every human and animal activity is a source of GHGs. GHG emissions have picked up pace since the industrial revolution and the rapid increases in the world’s population. GHG emissions result from virtually every aspect of individuals’ daily lives, work, social and personal, from how many children they have to what they eat and how much they consume; what car they drive and how far they travel for work and pleasure; how large their home is and what temperature they choose to live at; what kind of furnace, appliances and lighting they have; and on and on.

Jason Kenney never told the Alberta public that his government openly took the position that climate change was a threat to humanity. The challenge surprisingly succeeded, although there’s no legal impact to the ruling.

“Fighting” the carbon tax apparently also includes introducing one of your own, as Alberta did in late 2019.

Conservatives In Saskatchewan

Scott Moe criticizes the Carbon tax. But like most conservatives, he speaks out both sides of his mouth. He fully supports the climate change scam, but only argues on one small

point.

> [4] The ***factual record presented to the Court*** *confirms that climate change caused by anthropogenic greenhouse gas [GHG] emissions is one of the great existential issues of our time. The pressing importance* of limiting such emissions is ***accepted by all of the participants in these proceedings.***

> [5] ***The Act seeks to ensure there is a minimum national price on GHG emissions*** in order to encourage their mitigation. Part 1 of the Act imposes a charge on GHG-producing fuels and combustible waste. Part 2 puts in place an output-based performance system for large industrial facilities. ***Such facilities are obliged to pay compensation if their GHG emissions exceed applicable limits.*** Significantly, the Act operates as no more than a backstop. It applies only those provinces or areas where the Governor in Council concludes GHG emissions are not priced at an appropriate level.

> [6] The sole issue before the Court is ***whether Parliament has the constitutional authority to enact the Act.*** The issue is ***not whether GHG pricing should or should not be adopted or whether the Act is effective or fair.*** Those are questions to be answered by Parliament and by provincial legislatures, not by courts.

The Saskatchewan Government argued at the Court of Appeals, and still argues at the Supreme Court of Canada, that climate change must be dealt with. Any wonder why they lost?

Oh, and not a single mention of central banking and the endless debt it creates.

Conservatives In Manitoba

Manitoba

Manitoba will challenge federal carbon tax in court, has 'credible greenhouse gas-reduction plan': premier

Brian Pallister is 'fighting climate action as opposed to fighting climate change': environment minister

Ian Froese · CBC News · Posted: Apr 03, 2019 1:24 PM CT | Last Updated: April 3, 2019

POPULAR NOW IN NEWS

1 ANALYSIS
Trudeau and Trump are worlds apart on the Canada-U.S. border closure
1206 reading now

2 B.C. landlord's new rules, fines deemed 'draconian and unreasonable' by arbitrator
470 reading now

3 OPINION
Our neighbour killed our dog. Then the criminal justice system failed her and our family
452 reading now

4 After complaints, CRA encourages some failed CRB applicants to reapply on Monday
363 reading now

5 SNL rolls out Canadian content with CBC and Drake references, Canuck performers
307 reading now

The Manitoba government will go to court over Ottawa’s imposition of a ***carbon tax.***

Premier Brian Pallister revealed Wednesday his government ***will launch a legal challenge against the federal government, which imposed its new levy as promised on Manitoba***, along with three other provinces, Monday.

> “We’re going to court, sadly, ***to challenge the Ottawa carbon tax because Ottawa cannot impose a carbon tax on a province that has a credible greenhouse gas-reduction plan of its own,*** and we do,” he told reporters.

Manitoba’s Premier Brian Pallister, who also self-identifies as a “Conservative”, doesn’t challenge the history of valid predictions or climate models. Instead, his position (like the others), is solely that Ottawa doesn’t have the authority to impose a Carbon tax on the Provinces. Hear Pallister’s own words on this. He opposes OTTAWA imposing a Carbon tax, not the principle of a Carbon tax.

Conservatives In Ontario

Toronto

Ontario appeals federal carbon tax decision to Supreme Court of Canada

Filing follows loss in Ontario Court of Appeal in June, when judges ruled plan is valid

CBC News · Posted: Aug 28, 2019 2:09 PM ET | Last Updated: August 28, 2019

POPULAR NOW IN NEWS

1 Rudy Giuliani caught in hotel bedroom scene in new Borat film
1771 reading now

2 NEW
As Alberta breaks single-day COVID-19 records, minister tests positive and premier self-isolates
1075 reading now

3 Snap election averted as Liberal government survives confidence vote in Commons
1073 reading now

4 B.C. announces record-high 203 new cases of COVID-19 and 1st outbreak at a B.C. school
887 reading now

5 EXCLUSIVE
Education experts slam leaked Alberta curriculum proposals
709 reading now

6. Ontario agrees with Canada that climate change is real and that human activities are a major cause. Ontario also acknowledges that climate change is already having a disruptive effect across Canada, and that, left unchecked, its potential impact will be even more severe. Ontario agrees that proactive action to address climate change is required. That is why Ontario has put forward for consultation a made-in-Ontario plan to protect the environment, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and fight climate change.

11. Ontario released its climate change plan, as part of its overall environment plan, for a 60-day period of public consultation on November 29, 2018. The plan will be finalized following consideration of input from that consultation. ***Ontario’s plan will tackle climate change in a balanced and responsible way, without placing additional burdens on Ontario families and businesses***

12. “***[Greenhouse gas] emissions come from virtually all aspects of Ontario’s society and economy.”*** There are seven primary sectors in ***Ontario that produce greenhouse gas emissions: transportation; industry; buildings; land use, land use change and forestry; electricity; waste; and agriculture***. All but the last (which is an area of concurrent federal/provincial jurisdiction) will be discussed in turn.

13. Canada itself has publicly acknowledged the ***wide range of activities that can generate greenhouse gas emissions*** – activities as varied as homes and buildings, transport, industry, forestry, agriculture, waste, and electricity.

In its “challenge“, before the Ontario Court of Appeals, the Ford Government argued that climate change was a danger, in full agreement with Trudeau. They repeat the same thing to the Supreme Court of Canada. These “conservatives” don’t oppose the climate change hoax, nor do they talk about the banking cartel which contributes to their Provincial debt.

What’s the point of these challenges if you don’t oppose the climate change scam? Is it just for show?

Conservatives In Quebec

Montreal

Quebec joins carbon tax fight to 'protect provincial jurisdiction'

The province's justice minister says the government wants to defend the Quebec's autonomy

CBC News · Posted: Jul 09, 2019 6:35 AM ET | Last Updated: July 9, 2019

POPULAR NOW IN NEWS

1 Rudy Giuliani caught in hotel bedroom scene in new Borat film
1748 reading now

2 NEW
As Alberta breaks single-day COVID-19 records, minister tests positive and premier self-isolates
1094 reading now

3 Snap election averted as Liberal government survives confidence vote in Commons
1029 reading now

4 B.C. announces record-high 203 new cases of COVID-19 and 1st outbreak at a B.C. school
829 reading now

5 EXCLUSIVE
Education experts slam leaked Alberta curriculum proposals
710 reading now

The Quebec government is intervening before the Supreme Court in Saskatchewan’s challenge to the federal carbon tax.

Quebec Premier François ***Legault said his government is in favour of carbon pricing***, but it must be exclusively a provincial responsibility.

> “For us, it is important to protect provincial jurisdiction,” he said.

> “I have been clear with the premiers of other provinces who are opposed for other reasons to this [federal] encroachment. We want to ***protect provincial jurisdiction to fight climate change***.”

> Currently, the federal carbon tax applies only to New Brunswick, Ontario, Manitoba and Saskatchewan. In Alberta the tax would come into effect as of Jan. 1.

> Quebec is not affected by the federal government’s decision since it ***joined a carbon exchange with California*** several years ago.

The “conservative” Quebec Premier says he will challenge the Federal carbon tax, but only on grounds that it should be the Provinces getting the money instead.

Conservatives In New Brunswick



1. The Intervenor, Attorney General of New Brunswick (“New Brunswick”) agrees with the factum of the Attorney General of Ontario (“Ontario”) regarding the nature of this reference and ***agrees with Ontario’s conclusions in every respect.*** New Brunswick also ***agrees with the climate data submitted by the Attorney General of Canada (“Canada”).*** This reference should ***not be a forum for those who deny climate change; nor should it be a showcase about the risks posed by greenhouse gas emissions*** (“GHG emissions”). The supporting data is relevant only to the extent that it is meaningfully connected to the constitutional question at issue.

2. The foundational climate change data provided by Canada, generally intended to portray the anticipated impacts of climate change in Canada, as well as the many references to international accord and commitments, ***leave an unquestionable impression of Canada’s a deep resolve*** to see the nation’s environmental footprint diminished. ***New Brunswick does not take issue with Canada’s commitment or with the importance of the overall subject matter.***

3. ***What New Brunswick disputes is the way in which the federal Parliament has apportioned its resolve to diminish GHG emissions by imposing “backstop legislation”.***

New Brunswick acted as an intervenor in the Ontario Court of Appeals case and submitted

their own Factum. Above are some of the quotes. Higgs makes it clear he doesn’t actually oppose the agenda itself.

New Brunswick Premier Blaine Higgs is yet another “conservative” who is fighting the Carbon tax by introducing one of his own.

Elsewhere In The Maritimes

There doesn’t seem to be any real opposition (or mention) of the carbon tax by conservatives in Nova Scotia, PEI, and Newfoundland & Labrador. They don’t talk about the 1974 changes that Trudeau Sr. made to the banking system, either.

Fake Populist (Fake Party) Maxime Bernier


Tweet
Maxime Bernier
@MaximeBernier
What we need to fix climate change is free-enterprise innovation, NOT a job-killing carbon tax. #cdnpoli #cpcldr
5:13 PM · Nov 9, 2016 from Saskatoon, Saskatchewan · Twitter Web Client
54 Retweets
8 Quote Tweets
105 Likes

MAXIME BERNIER

About Archives 2016-17 Leadership Race Français Volunteer

Carbon pricing is not a market-based solution

Published on August 06, 2016

This is a reply to a column by Stephen Gordon that appeared in the National Post:
In a recent column (“Conservatives are dismantling their credibility in economics by campaigning against carbon pricing,” August 1), Stephen Gordon accuses Conservatives of “frittering away the remnants of their intellectual capital in economics by opposing a federal carbon tax or cap-and-trade system. A market-friendly party should, in his view, instead promote these “market-based policies.” I beg to differ.
First of all, there is a huge confusion about the meaning of words here. It is true that putting a price on something and then letting market participants adapt to the change in the way they see fit is a more flexible and less intrusive way of intervening in markets than intrusive regulation that tells them exactly what to do and how to do it. But that doesn't make it a “market-based policy.” No more than imposing a minimum wage is a market-based policy simply because the government lets businesses decide how many people to fire or hire at the new wage level instead of imposing quotas.
A cap-and-trade system is a hugely complicated artificial market whose basic features are all determined by government intervention. When the quantity of something is arbitrarily determined by bureaucrats, or when you can lobby the government to get an exception or a better deal for your industry, we're very far from a market.
In the same way, when the “pricing” mechanism is a tax, it should be more than obvious that we're not talking about a real pricing mechanism based on private property and free markets, but about a government measure. Almost everything abo a carbon tax is determined by the government, from its arbitrary level which can change in ways unrelated to supply and

Even when running for the CPC leadership, Bernier played along with the climate change scam. His only opposition was to a tax itself. However, since losing in 2017, he finally admitted (somewhat), that it’s all a hoax.

Bernier talks a lot about the milk mafia, but doesn’t have much to say about the banking or climate mafias. Bernier was also in cabinet from 2011 to 2015 during the COMER case in Federal Court. Bernier claims to support balanced budgets, but never talks about the biggest obstacle.

Conservative Party Of Canada

00:00 08:54

00:00 08:05

28. Carbon Tax

We believe that there should be no federally imposed carbon taxes or cap and trade systems on either the provinces or on the citizens of Canada. The provinces and territories should be free to develop their own climate change policies, without federal interference or federal penalties or incentives.

29. Single Tax Return for Quebec

A growing number of Quebecers are demanding a simplified process for collecting taxes. So, while recognizing the distinctiveness of Quebec, a Conservative government will work with the provincial government to support the application of a unique income tax return to reduce the administrative burden.

COMER — Committee on Monetary and Economic Reform EST. 1986

Home Page | About COMER | ER Archives | Bookstore | Projects | Participate | Contact Us | Links

Supreme Court of Canada Dismisses Constitutional Bank of Canada Case, Claiming It Is a Political Matter

Toronto, May 31, 2017 - After nearly 5.5 years of contentious litigation between the Committee On Monetary and Economic Reform (COMER) and the Government of Canada involving three separate Federal Court and two additional Federal Court of Appeal hearings resulting in contrary decisions, on May 4, 2017, the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed COMER’s “leave” (permission to appeal) application from the second judgment of the Federal Court of Appeal.

Following established practice, the federal Supreme Court does *not* issue reasons when it dismisses a leave application. The dismissal by the Supreme Court of the Leave application, means only that the Court does not want to hear the appeal. The jurisprudence on this is clear: it does not mean that the lower court decisions are correct in law. The possible reasons for the Supreme Court not wanting to hear the case are many and various, including the washing of their hands or “deference” to the political process - hence, this is why reasons are not issued by the Supreme Court in leave dismissals.

We believe that the case has ample legal merit, and should have proceeded to trial. It is not uncommon for the Supreme Court to refuse leave on a given issue multiple times, finally to grant leave, hear the appeal and the case then succeeds. The Supreme Court controls its own agenda, both in its timing and on the merits of issues it will or will not hear. (Annually, fewer than 8-10% of all cases filed are granted permission and heard at the Supreme Court of Canada.)

COMER — Committee on Monetary and Economic Reform EST. 1986

Home Page | About COMER | ER Archives | Bookstore | Projects | Participate | Contact Us | Links


COMER

Eulogy for William Krehm

2019 AGM

The Annual General Meeting will be held on June 29, 2019.

The location will be posted here as soon as the information is available.

COMER LAWSUIT

Summary of the Supreme Court decision on May 4, 2017, and related reference information.

LAWSUIT INITIATED TO CHALLENGE CETA

Canada’s longest-serving member of the Queen’s Privy Council, the Honourable Paul Hellyer, P.C., along

While claiming to oppose a Carbon tax, the CPC still plays along with the climate change agenda. It does this to offer the illusion of choice in voting options. Harper signed Agenda 2030 in September 2015. Erin O’Toole supports the Paris Accord, as does Andrew Scheer.

Also worth pointing out, while Conservatives “pretend” to care about debt in opposition,

they do little when in power. They also had a majority government in 2011 to 2015 when COMER attempted to dismantle Canada’s participation in the International Banking Cartel. The CPC fought the court challenge for years.

Leslyn Lewis’ Pro-UN/PA Dissertation

Leslyn Lewis PhD Dissertation, Paris Accord

As many readers will be aware, Leslyn Lewis recently ran for the leadership of the Conservative Party of Canada, and came 3rd overall. But what many supporters don’t know is her thesis, published in 2019, was very pro-UN, and pro-Paris Accord. This isn’t some ancient paper she wrote at age 19 or 20. It was her PhD dissertation, published at the age of 48.

This “social conservative” was also a Director at Women’s LEAF, a pro-death lobbyist and legal group. But that’s another story.

The Controlled Opposition Conservatives

(Originally featured as “the Resistance” in Maclean’s), these so-called leaders pretend to oppose the Carbon tax levied by Trudeau. But the devil is in the details. They actually ***ENDORSE*** the climate change industry overall, and don’t rule out Carbon taxes Provincially. Nor do they discuss where the money even goes. They only criticize Trudeau imposing a ***FEDERAL*** tax.

Nor do the “Resistance” seem to have any problem with the international banking cartel bleeding Canada dry through usury and private loans. They focus on a symptom (the debt), but never the disease (the banking system). The goal is to ensure the public never sees the big picture.

While climate change and central banking seem unrelated, there is a connection: so-called “opposition” politicians never come clean as to what is going on. Both are scams meant to bankrupt and enslave the people.

Documents On The Climate Change Scam

(A.1) SK COA Ruling On Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/tNe2k
(B.1) ONCA Ruling On Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/tbMTC
(B.2) ONCA Reference Documents
(B.3) ONCA, Ontario Factum, GGPPA

(B.4) ONCA, BC Factum, GGPPA
(B.5) ONCA, NB Factum, GGPPA
(B.6) ONCA, United Conservative Assoc
(B.7) ONCA, CDN Taxpayers Federation
(C.1) ABCA Ruling On Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/guxXF
(C.2) Jason Kenney Repeals Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/Q1gGb
(C.3) Kenney Supports New Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/wTYoE
(C.4) Kenney To Hike New Carbon Tax
http://archive.is/jbLjN
(D.1) SCC, Ontario Factum
(D.2) SCC, Sask Factum, GGPPA

Leslyn Lewis’s 2019 PhD Dissertation On Climate Change

BANKING CARTEL, CANADA, CLIMATE CHANGE SCAM, GLOBALISM, WORLD

One Reply to “Controlled Opposition “Conservatives” Prop Up Climate, Banking Cartels”

Pebbles519

FEBRUARY 22, 2022 AT 12:25 AM

I can’t believe how much our government has betrayed us. I would be interested in a future article about the document signed in June 2019 by the WEF and the UN (World Bank 2018) to form a partnership to accelerate the goals of Agenda 2030. Would be interested in an interpretation of these agreements.

https://weforum.ent.box.com/s/rdlgipawkjxi2vdaidw8npbtyach2qbt
https://unsdg.un.org/sites/default/files/2019-10/UN-Cooperation-Framework-Internal-Guidance-Final-June-2019_1.pdf
https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/sdgs-2030-agenda/brief/strategic-partnership-

framework-for-the-2030-agenda

https://swprs.org/the-wef-and-the-pandemic/